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Abstract—The rise of e-commerce and the rapid growth of the World Wide Web has led to the growth of recommendation systems. In this project we have proposed and developed an incremental approach to Collaborative filtering Algorithm for predicting binary ratings of an item by a user. The algorithm works by predicting the rating of top k restaurants with its place and type of cuisines. This algorithm was implemented as a web service for restaurant recommender.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Item-based incremental Top-K recommendation system is the approach that we initiated to implement and design a restaurant recommendation system. Recommender systems has emerged very popular in recent years, and has been in applied to various applications and already existing system. The most popular examples of such system are promoted by Amazon and various other website, movies by Netflix, music by Spotify and Youtube, news, books, search queries, social tags and products in general. However, there are also recommender systems for experts, jokes, restaurants, financial services, and Twitter followers. A system could produce a list of recommendations through combination of content or content-based filtering or an one of them. Here the Collaborative filtering approach are based on filtering a large amount of information based on user's behaviours, preferences and predicting back to the user based on the recommended items that people with similar preferences liked in the past. On the other hand Content-based recommendation approach is based on the filtering method of recommending items to the user similar to the ones the user preferred in the past. These approaches are often combined in Hybrid Recommender Systems.

II. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION AND SOLUTION
The problem that we have worked upon is developing an application that can efficiently recommend a set of top - k restaurant. We generated a similarity matrix on the basis of user rating and cuisine. We have collected the dataset from UCI Machine Learning Repository (https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Restaurant+) and used this large dataset so that we can develop the application more efficiently and can recommend according to the following parameter -

1. The reviews and rating of restaurant from past users’ predict user recommendation for restaurant.
2. The type of cuisine a user wants.

A. Algorithm implementation

The algorithm uses an incremental collaborative filtering technique. In the building phase of the model, for each item j, the k most similar items/ j1, j2, ...., jk are computed, and their corresponding similarities |sj1, sj2, .....,sjk| are recorded. Now, for each user that has viewed a set (i.e., basket) U of items, this information is used to compute the top-K recommended items. This proposed approach will analyze the user-item matrix and determines the relations among different items. These results help in computing the list of top-K recommendations. This approach leads the users to view the items similar to those they have already viewed. Thus it will produce faster recommendation engines since there is no need of computation of the neighbourhood of similar users when suggesting a recommendation.

We implemented the algorithm to maintain a “co-concurrency matrix”. This is an item x item matrix which is incrementally updated. The matrix stores the count of appearances of the combination of two items in an interaction set. We processed these co-concurrency counts with both jaccard and cosine similarity measures to fetch another item x item similarity matrix. This matrix is used to recommend the K most similar items for every item.

B. Algorithm Steps

I. Form the interaction sets by grouping the pairs of input user->item by user-id.
II. Increment the corresponding element in co-concurrency matrix for all the item<->item combinations in the interaction set.
III. Calculate the item<->item similarity for all the combinations of item<->item in the co-concurrency matrix.
IV. Fetch the K most similar items for every item in the corresponding output set.
C. Incremental Collaborative Filtering Algorithm

Steps:

1. Update Int.u and S
2. Determine the activation weight of each item never seen by Ua and recommend the N items with highest activation weight.
3. Add Ua to Users and the session to D
4. Add new items in I to Items
5. Update the row(column) of S corresponding to Ua

The activation weight of an item for the user-based algorithm is

\[ W(i) = \frac{\sum_{u: \text{seen by } u \text{ and } i \text{ has rating for } u} S[i,u]}{\sum_{u: \text{seen by } u} S[i,u]} \]

D. Jaccard and Cosine Similarity Index

The Jaccard coefficient measures similarity as the intersection divided by the union of the objects. For text documents, the Jaccard coefficient compares the sum weight of shared terms to the sum weight of terms that are present in either of the two documents but are not the shared terms. Mathematically it can be represented as -

\[ \text{SIM}_J(\vec{c}_a, \vec{c}_b) = \frac{\vec{c}_a \cdot \vec{c}_b}{\|\vec{c}_a\|_2 \|\vec{c}_b\|_2} \]

The Jaccard coefficient is a similarity measure and ranges between 0 and 1.

The Cosine similarity measure can be defined as the computation of the similarity between two items is to treat each item as a vector and use the cosine measure between these vectors as a measure of similarity.

Unlike Jaccard similarity measure, cosine similarity measure can also be applied to non-binary ratings.

If \( R \) is the \( n \times m \) user-item matrix, then the similarity between two items \( v \) and \( u \) is defined as the cosine of the vectors corresponding to the \( v \)th and \( u \)th column of matrix \( R \). The cosine between these vectors is given by the below equation (where ‘·’ denotes the vector dot-product operation).

\[ \text{sim}(v, u) = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}}{\|\vec{v}\|_2 \|\vec{u}\|_2} \]

If the ratings are binary, they can be represented as

\[ \text{sim}(i, j) = \frac{\#(\{i,j\})}{\sqrt{\#i \times \#j}} \]

E. Implementation details

The proposed algorithm was implemented into code to test over the data set specified earlier.

As the final result for the restaurant application is expected to be a web application, we implemented the algorithm and necessary data cleaning and data loading functionalities in the form of a web service application. The web application for the algorithm implementation and other related jobs was done with Ruby On Rails(ROR) web application framework. The algorithm was built into the ruby on rails as a gem file. The basic implementation of the algorithm is in Ruby. To support the scalability and efficiency over a large data, we used Redis key value pair NoSQL database. Our idea was to develop each item-item pair that creates a key value in redis. The value of item-item increment count is stored as the corresponding value for the item-item key. In this way we generate a sparse matrix. This saves a large amount of memory and processing time, considering the fact that the number of seen item-item pairs is much less compared to the number of unseen item-item pairs. This implementation brings down the complexity from \( O(n^2) \) to \( O(n) \). The same technique is used to store the similarity matrix, which saves spaces and increases scalability during incremental updation of items or recommendation generation. Ruby On Rails has an in built active record mechanism that helps in easy retrieval and updation of the data from this database setup. The loaded data is kept same such that the data may not be loaded each time when a recommendation request is processed. During this process we can either process the whole data together to create a similarity matrix or each row by row incrementally. Once the similarity matrix has been created, we can
send an item to get recommendations for. The algorithm selects the top K similarity scores from the row corresponds to that item. This list of top K picks is the result of the algorithm.

F. Experimental results and analysis

From the top K items returned by algorithm, we check for hits. To get compare the accuracy, we use the recall parameter. The recall is calculated as follows

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{Number of hits}}{\text{total number of users}}
\]

The result window as displayed on the part of the web application is as follows in the given diagram –

III. MY CONTRIBUTIONS

In this project I have at first cleaned the data set that is being downloaded from the UCI repository and then developed the incremental algorithm that is an item based collaborative filtering algorithm in Ruby. After that my duty was to interface the algorithm with the Redis database. I also made a comparative analysis of both the user based and item based approaches and calculated the recommendation time and rate of both the approaches using the restaurant - consumer dataset. Finally after the entire completion of the application I have debugged and tested application before it is submitted.

IV. NEW SKILLS, TECHNIQUES OR KNOWLEDGE ACQUIRED

While doing this project I learnt how to code using Ruby On Rails web application framework which I did not have experience before. Also I learnt how to simulate and interface the gem file that is obtained with the database by making the algorithm compatible. Also I gained the knowledge of Redis, a NoSQL database that works on the basis of a key value pair. So these are the technologies that I learnt while developing this project.

V. CONCLUSION

The proposed algorithm, on the experiment with restaurant data proved that, it could give descent item based recommendations based past user visits to the item or restaurants. The algorithm is configurable with any similarity measure. But our results show that the cosine similarity function performs better with the algorithm. The algorithm is disk efficient and scalable as it uses a sparse matrix implementation and the algorithm can be updated incrementally without recalculating the entire model.

VI. TEAM MEMBERS

1. Aritra Kumar Lahiri
2. Ashish Mahajan
3. Arun Scaria
4. Pavan Kumar Akilla.
VII. REFERENCES


